



Evaluation Criteria

1. Potential impact:

To determine the effectiveness of the work that has been submitted, we take into account its potential impact on the sustainability of the environment, society, and economy. Furthermore, we evaluate the practicality and feasibility of the proposed solutions in real-world situations, as well as their scalability and adaptability in different dryland regions.

2. Innovation:

To evaluate this, we assess the originality and creativity of the submitted work in addressing food-related challenges in dryland regions.

3. Relevance:

To evaluate this, we measure to what extent the submitted work is related to the prize field and objectives.

4. Scientific rigor:

The scientific quality of the research is important. Judges will evaluate the methodology, data analysis, and overall rigor. Higher scores should be assigned to projects with robust scientific methods and sound analytical practices.

5. Interdisciplinary approach:

This criterion focuses on the integration of knowledge from multiple disciplines to address food challenges in dryland regions. Higher scores should be given to projects that effectively combine insights from different fields, contributing to a holistic understanding of the issue.